

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/796,194   | 03/10/2004          | Keiichi Satoh        | 250084US2               | 2456            |
| 22850 7  | 7590 07/07/2006     |                      | EXAM                    | INER            |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET |                     |                      | YAN, REN LUO            |                 |
|  | LEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| •  |                     |                      | 2854                    |                 |
|  |                     |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
|   | 10/796,194   | SATOH ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Ren L. Yan   | 2854   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under M</li> </ol>  | s action is non-final.<br>ance except for formal matters, pro  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |
| 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or   | wn from consideration.   |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | cepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                       |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a bulk paper feeding device, classified in class 101, subclass118.
- II. Claim 10, drawn to a method for feeding paper, classified in class 101, subclass485.
- III. Claims 11-30, drawn to a bulk paper feeding device, classified in class 101, subclass 118.
- IV. Claims 31-35, drawn to a bulk paper feeding device, classified in class 101, subclass 118.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as being used to maintain proper paper feeding intervals, subcombination III has separate utility such as being used to guide the paper to ensure proper alignment of the paper during feeding, and subcombination IV has separate utility such as being used to control the paper feeding timing based on the size of the paper being fed. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2854

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one that requires the use of means to detect and identify the size and transport speed of the paper being fed, which are not required by the apparatus as claimed.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one that requires the use of means to detect and identify the size and transport speed of the paper being fed, which are not required by the apparatus as claimed.

Inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one that requires the use of means to detect and identify the size and transport speed of the paper being fed, which are not required by the apparatus as claimed.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren L. Yan whose telephone number is 571-272-2173. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 571-272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ren L Yan

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan June 22, 2006